# North Pacific Coast (WRIA 20) SRFB 2024 Grant Round Application Packet

The Salmon Recovery Funding Board (SRFB) has started its annual grant round. In addition to the regular SRFB funding, this year there is special Riparian funding that was funded through the Climate Commitment Act for riparian-specific projects. The exact amount allocated for projects in the North Pacific Coast is not confirmed yet but is estimated to be between \$470,557 and \$543,358 for regular SRFB projects and \$464,064 for riparian projects.

Cape Flattery North Pacific Coast Lead Entity Makah Indi Reservat Ozette Indian Reservatio Quilen Indian Reservation k Hal Legend Lake Olympic Natio Hoh Indian Rivers servation Reservation ] CountyBoundary Hwy 101 WRIA 20

NOTE: All applications must be submitted through a Lead Entity.

#### **PROJECT LOCATIONS:**

North Pacific Coast Lead Entity (NPCLE) projects must be located within the geographic boundary of Water Resource Inventory Area 20 (WRIA 20), which includes the highlighted portions of western Clallam and Jefferson counties and their nearshore as illustrated in the map above.

forth Pacific Coast Lead Entity for Salmon Restoration

## **BASIC APPLICATION PROCEDURE FOR 2024**

(Spring/Summer 2024)

(Applications must be entered online into PRISM after you get your Project # from the Lead Entity)

Completed Conceptual Project Forms must be submitted to the Lead Entity by March 8, 2024.

#### **General Instructions:**

- 1. To get a PRISM Project Number, fill out the Coast Salmon Partnership Habitat Restoration Conceptual Project Form (pages 7-10 of this application package) and submit it to NPCLE coordinator Anna Geffre, Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission (phone: (360) 438-1180 ext. 575; email: ageffre@nwifc.org). at any time prior to the deadline. We will then enter the basics of your project into the Salmon Recovery Portal (SRP) and obtain a PRISM Project Number for you. This is accomplished by our Communications and Data Technician, Rebekah Brooks (rebekahbrookscontracting@gmail.com).
- 2. Please note if you are seeking funding through the regular SRFB fund, Riparian fund, or both. For projects seeking funding through both sources in a single application, all project elements must meet the full eligibility requirements for both sources. For eligibility information, please refer to Manual 18 (linked below). If they are not fully eligible under both sources, please submit two conceptual project forms, specifying elements for each funding source.
- 3. After you get your PRISM project number from the Lead Entity you will be able to fill in the rest of your information using the online grant program PRISM. Here is the PRISM link on how to apply: https://rco.wa.gov/recreation-and-conservation-office-grants/apply-for-a-grant/prism/.

Here are links to the Salmon Recovery Grants Manual 18 (https://rco.wa.gov/wpcontent/uploads/2019/05/SAL-Manual18.pdf) and application material https://rco.wa.gov/grant/salmon-recovery/. All required application forms and project proposal templates are included in Manual 18, and you may find links to all the forms and materials you will need in the Application Checklist as well.

Please check with the local salmon Lead Entity for their specific schedule of key dates, as it may differ slightly on some deadlines listed by SRFB https://rco.wa.gov/wpcontent/uploads/2019/10/SAL-GrantSchedule.pdf. Specific NPCLE information can be found at https://www.coastsalmonpartnership.org/north-pacific-coast-lead-entity/.

Please contact Anna Geffre, 360-438-1180 ext. 575 (ageffre@nwifc.org) or Alissa Ferrell, 360-867-8618 (alissa.ferrell@rco.wa.gov) for clarification or assistance in getting your project information into PRISM.

# North Pacific Coast Lead Entity **SRFB 2024 Application Schedule**

(Winter-Summer 2024)

SCHEDULED ITEM	DATE	
Official Release of the NPCLE SRFB Request for Proposals (SRFB online application open January 16, 2024)	January 5	
DUE DATE: Conceptual project forms submitted to Lead Entity Coordinator.	March 8	
NPCLE March meeting:	March 19	
Proposed project presentations with information available to NPCLE Technical and IG/Citizen Committee for initial review.		
DUE DATE: Complete applications submitted in PRISM two weeks prior to Site Visits.	April 9	
SRFB Technical Review Panel Site Visit	April 23-24	
NPCLE April meeting.	April 16	
Initial overviews of all proposed projects submitted in PRISM		
NPCLE May meeting:	May 21	
Full presentations on proposals by project proponents.		
Comment forms received from SRFB Review Panel	May 31	
NPCLE June meeting:	June 18	
Presentations on any project updates. Final Q & A between		
applicants and the Citizen and Technical Committees. Morning		
Technical Committee review of scoring criteria.		
<b>DUE DATE:</b> Final revised applications submitted in PRISM for	June 24,	
Lead Entity scoring and ranking.	noon	
Technical Committee final project scoring session.	July 9	
NPCLE July meeting:	July 16	
Citizens Committee/Initiating Governments rank and approve	-	
projects for submittal to RCO.		
Ranked project list submitted to SRFB by the Lead Entity Coordinator.	August 13	

The Salmon Recovery Funding Board (SRFB) also offers "Successful Applicant Workshops" that can be of great assistance in understanding the SRFB policies and project application and management procedures. All applicants and grant recipients are encouraged to attend workshops at least once every other year. A recording of the 2023 workshop can be found here: https://youtu.be/4B4SUgW0E6M?si=jwpul-y86-ei0oqR .

## Successful Applicants:

Successful applicants contact the Lead Entity in the location of their proposed project as early as possible so that stakeholders have plenty of time to be informed and potential partners can collaborate. Lead Entity Technical Committee members can be especially helpful in the early stages of project development.

## **Overview of the SRFB 2024 Grant Round NPCLE Proposal Requirements:**

## (Applications must be completed and submitted in PRISM by April 9, 2024.)

#### NPCLE APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA:

The general evaluation criteria used by the NPCLE Technical Committee and Citizen Committee in reviewing projects proposed for the 2024 SRFB Grant Round include:

- Project Strategy Project Method Habitat Quality Habitat Quantity Salmonid Life Histories Species Diversity (current) Riparian forest and native vegetation Local Community Support
- Sediment Control Connectivity Applicant is or has a project sponsor Likelihood of satisfying the granting agency Accuracy of budget Urgency for immediate implementation Qualifications

(A copy of the form used by technical reviewers for proposal evaluation follows on the next pages. Scorers will use a modified version of this form to score riparian projects.)

Application Packet

## Table 1. General SRFB Grant Project Ranking Matrix

PROJECT NAME / # :		REVIEWE		
PROJECT NAME / #.		REVIEWE	RINAIVIE.	
	CATEGORIES		SCORE	COMMENTS (Reviewer)
PROJECT STRATEGY (score only as many as appropriate)	Category Description	Score Range	(Reviewer)	
Preservation/Protection.	Obtains protection from direct human impacts to habitat conditions through conservation easements or land purchase.	0 to 10		
Assessment to define projects and/or to fill data gaps.	Conducts archival and empirical studies to document or ground truth current conditions prior to identifying specific restoration actions.	0 to 10		
Restoration of Processes - Long term	Undertakes actions that support natural processes to recover habitat conditions.	0 to 10		
Restoration of Physical Habitat - short term	Undertakes restoration of degraded habitat to immediately improve habitat conditions on a temporary time scale.	0 to 5		
Reconnect Fragmented / Isolated Habitats	Undertakes actions that repair physical corridors and restores functions of previously connected habitat areas.	0 to 10		
	Category Description	Score Range	SCORE (Reviewer)	COMMENTS (Reviewer)
Acquisition/Easement	Purchase and/or a contractual agreement to maintain or improve salmon habitat conditions.	0 to 4		
Fish Passage	Remove stream-crossing structures or restore, upgrade and replace stream-crossing structures to allow migration of all fish life history stages and the natural movement of streambed material and large woody material.	0 to 4		
Road Decommissioning	Elimination of existing road(s) and reestablishment of natural channel configuration and natural habitat functions.	0 to 4		
Drainage / Stabilization	Increase water crossing structure sizes to better accommodate peak flows. Increase number of cross drains to avoid excess flow into any drainage, and/or remove side cast at segments in risk of failure.	0 to 4		
Flood Plain & Wetland	Reconnect or re-design lowlands, road segments, dikes, bank armoring, revetments and fill that are specifically impacting floodplain, channel, or wetland function.	0 to 4		
Large Woody Debris Placement	Design and place engineered woody material accumulations and logiam structures to enhance channel stability, diversity, and spawning substrate, accumulate natural wood, and/or to protect significant habitat features for the maintenance of products fish habitat.	0 to 4		
	Inventory and remove invasive species along banks and river bars within basins using appropriate methods for removal and control. Promote appropriate age and species composition of vegetation through landscape engineering and replanting. Fence riparian areas from livestock, relocate parallel roads and other infrastructure from riparian			
Riparian Restoration	areas.	0 to 4		
Instream structure removal / abandonment	Permanent removal of culverts, failed bridges, cedar spalts, and other anthropogenic instream blockages so that the channel returns to natural conditions.	0 to 4		
Instream Structure Improvement/replacement	Improve or replace existing culverts, bridges, or other failed instream structures so that the channel returns to adequate function for the support of salmon habitat.	0 to 4		
Other	Special assessments, experimental techniques, quantitative and spatial modeling or the application of new technology.	0 to 4		

(continued from other side)	Cologony Description	Score Range	SCORE	
	Category Description	_	(Reviewer)	COMMENTS (Reviewer)
	Water quality, pool frequency, channel composition, LWD frequency			
	positively affected by the project .			
Salmonid Habitat Quality		0 to 4		
	Increase in stream length, estuary or off-channel area after project			
	completion.			
Salmonid Habitat Quantity		0 to 4		
Salmonid Life Histories	Range of salmon life history stages addressed and positively affected by the project (e.g. spawning, rearing, migration).	0 to 4		
Salmonid Species Diversity	Number of salmonid species positively affected.			
(current)		0 to 4		
<u> </u>				
Riparian forest and native	Are riparian areas healthy with native vegetation or will invasive species and/or restoration be addressed?			
vegetation	anu/or restoration de addressed?	0 to 4		
	Anthropogenic or geomorphic- sediment issues and/or their restoration			
Sediment Control	positively affected by the project.	0 to 4		
	Climate adaptation is formally incorporated into project benefits and			
Climate Adaptation	addressed in the proposal description.	0 to 4		
	Improvement or maintenance of connectivity to functional or high quality habitat.			
Salmonid habitat connectivity	naditat.	0 to 4		
	(score applicant based on track record and documented resources)	Score Range	SCORE	
Applicant is or has an appropriate			(Reviewer)	COMMENTS (Reviewer)
project sponsor.	How complete and balanced is the project team?	0 to 4		
		0104		
Likelihood of satisfying the	How does this project address the funding requirements of the granting			
granting agency.	agency?	0 to 4		
granning agonoy.		0104		
Accuracy and completeness of	Are projected expenses realistic relative to documented costs and are			
budget.	they adequate?	0 to 4		
Urgency for immediate	Are there timing issues for this projects success that make it more			
implementation.	important to move forward now?	0 to 4		
	Qualifications / track record of sponsor/partners			
Qualifications		0 to 4		
Qualifications	1			
Qualifications				
Quaimeations	Is there endorsement (e.g support letters) of affected landowners, support			
Local Community Support	Is there endorsement (e.g support letters) of affected landowners, support by economic sectors, community awareness and adequate buy in?	0 to 4		



## COAST SALMON PARTNERSHIP HABITAT RESTORATION CONCEPTUAL PROJECT FORM

Project Information	
Project Name	
Fund source seeking	
Landowner (name, phone number and/or email)	
<b>Project Type</b> (bank protection/ restoration/acquisition/etc.)	
<b>Project Sponsor or Primary Contact</b> (name, phone number and/or email)	
Brief Project Description	
Current Land Ownership (private, public, other)	
Approximate Scale of Project to be Restored/Protected, if known (linear feet, acreage, etc.)	
Project Location	
River or creek name, road crossing, nearest street address, if applicable	
Latitude/longitude	
Stream	
Sub-Basin	

Applicatior	1
Packet	

Ecosystem Type to be Protected/Restored/A	cquired
Estuary (River Delta)	Riparian (Stream side)
In-stream	Upland
Wetland	Off channel floodplain
Other	N/A

Resource Concerns Addressed (Choo	se All That Apply)
Bank erosion	Infrastructure protection
Flooding/flood control	Road maintenance
Stormwater runoff	Other

Habitat: Limiting Factor Addressed (Cho	ose All that Apply)	
Habitat diversity	Channel stability	
Habitat composition	Width	
Floodplain connectivity/function	Water quantity/flow	
Fish Passage	Water quality	
Predation	Sedimentation	
Food	Temperature	
Non-habitat limiting factors	Unknown	
Channel structure and complexity	Other	

Primary Aquatic Species Benefitting (Choose All that Apply)	
Bull Trout	Rainbow Trout
Chinook	Sockeye
Chum	Steelhead
Coho	Cutthroat
Pacific lamprey	Mountain whitefish
Largescale sucker	Dace
Redside shiner	Northern pikeminnow
Sculpin	Three spine stickleback
Olympic mudminnow	Northern red-legged frog
Northwestern salamander	Long-toed salamander
Pacific Tree frog	Rough skin Newt
Migratory birds	Other

Application Packet

### **Detailed Project Information (where applicable)**

Additional Information

**Does this project link to any other recently completed or proposed restoration or protection projects?** (List all projects related to water quality, quantity, habitat, barriers, etc.)

Is there current or future potential landowner willingness to have a project done on this land?

Would there be any educational opportunities associated with this project?

Problem Statement	(What is the problem? What ecological concerns or limiting factors does the project address? For bank protection projects, what are the reach- scale and site specific causes of erosion (see Bank Erosion Strategy)? Are there any known potential constraints (infrastructure, access limitations, etc.) or other project considerations? Please include the chapter and section of a recovery plan where this action is recommended as well as the recovery plan goal to which the project relates.
Goals and Objectives	
Estimated Timeframe for Project Completion	
Rough Cost	
Estimate (required)	
Partner(s)	
If applicable, Secured Funding and Sources	

## Draw the project site

What to include in your drawing: Rivers, creeks, land use around creek, roads or stream crossings, what you are proposing to do on this land, etc.

\*\* Optional: Attach photographs, maps, supporting documents

#### **REFERENCES:**

Dlugokenski, C.E., W.H. Bradshaw, and S.R. Hager. 1981. An investigation of the limiting factors to Ozette sockeye salmon production and a plan for their restoration U.S. Fish and "Wildlife Services, Fisheries Assistance office, Olympia, WA 52.p

Haggerty, M.J., Ritchie, A.C., Shellberg, J.G., Crewson, M.J., and Jalonen, J. 2009. Lake Ozette Sockeye Limiting Factors Analysis. Prepared for the Makah IndianTribe and NOAA Fisheries in Cooperation with the Lake Ozette Sockeye Steering Committee, Port Angeles, WA.

McMillan, J.R. and J.C. Starr, 2008. Identification and prioritization of salmon tributaries for conservation in the Hoh River basin, Washington State. Wild Salmon Center, Portland, Oregon. (available on HWS: http://hws.ekosystem.us)

NOAA, 2009. Lake Ozette Sockeye ESA Recovery Plan. Final plan approved May 9th, 2009. http://www.nwr.noaa.gov/Salmon-Recovery-Planning/Recovery-Domains/Puget-Sound/Lake-Ozette-Plan.cfm).

North Pacific Coast Lead Entity (NPCLE), 2007. North Pacific Coast Lead Entity 2007 Initial Habitat Strategy for Salmonid Projects Considered within WRIA 20. Unpublished Report. NPCLE, Port Angeles, WA, 71 p. (available on HWS: http://hws.ekosystem.us)

North Pacific Coast Lead Entity (WRIA 20) 2010-2019 Salmon Restoration Strategies. NPCLE, Forks WA, 75+ p. (<u>http://hws.ekosystem.us</u>).

Roni, P., T. J. Beechie, R. E. Bilby, F. E. Leonetti, M. M. Pollock, and G. R. Pess, 2002. A Review of Stream Restoration Techniques and a Hierarchical Strategy for Prioritizing Restoration in Pacific Northwest Watersheds. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 22:1–20.

Roni, P., T.J. Beechie, and G.R. Pess, 2003. Prioritizing potential restoration actions within watersheds. Pages 60 – 73 in Beechie, T.J., E.A. Steel, P. Roni, and E. Quimby (editors). Ecosystem recovery planning for listed salmon: an integrated assessment approach for salmon habitat. U.S. Dept. Commerce, NOAA Technical Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-58.

Smith, Carol J., 2000. Salmon and Steelhead Habitat Limiting Factors in the North Coastal Streams of WRIA 20. Washington State Conservation Commission, Lacey, Washington State. 147 p.

http://docs.streamnetlibrary.org/Washington/ConservationCommission/Statewide\_LFA\_Final\_Rep\_ort\_2005.pdf.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), 2002. Salmonid Stock Inventory. WDFW, Olympia, WA. Available online: http://wdfw.wa.gov/fish/sasi/.

Washington State Forest Practices Board (WFPB), 2001. Forest and Fish Plan. Washington Department of Natural Resources (WDNR), Olympia, WA. Available online: http://www.forestandfish.com.

Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 20 Implementation Body, 2010. WRIA 20 Detailed Implementation Plan. Approved for public review on March 24th, 2010. Available on Clallam County website: <u>http://www.clallam.net.environment/watershed.html</u> under WRIA 20 Sol Duc-Hoh.

Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 20 Planning Unit, 2008. Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA 20) Watershed Management Plan. Prepared for final approval by the WRIA 20 Initiating Governments. Available online: http://www.clallam.net.environment/watershed.html under WRIA 20 Sol Duc-Hoh.